

Real-Time Optical Flow for Vehicular Perception with Low- and High-Resolution Event Cameras

IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems (vol. 23, Sept. 2022) Vincent Brebion Julien Moreau Franck Davoine



Heudiasyc Lab, CNRS, Université de technologie de Compiègne (UTC), France *firstname.lastname*@hds.utc.fr

Event cameras are emerging sensors which only react to brightness changes, and output them as a fully asynchronous stream of data. They offer many advantages: high dynamic range, low latency, and no motion blur



Motivation: Current event-based optical flow methods only produce accurate results for low-resolution sensors, and do not consider the real-time constraint

Goal: Propose a novel method for computing accurate event-based optical flow in **real-time** for both low- and **high-resolution** cameras

Proposed solution: A lightweight pipeline, which converts events to pseudo-images, and computes image-based optical flow

Our Real-Time Event-Based Optical Flow (RTEF) Pipeline









1) Events are split into bins of a few milliseconds



2) For a given bin, events are accumulated into a binary image

 A denoising step is then applied, to only keep the most predominant edges

4) The image is densified (see(see) below), which is necessary(see) for optical flow computation



5) Optical flow can finally be computed, using an image-based algorithm

Core component: proposed robust densification

- The edge images obtained at step **3**) are only binary representations, unsuitable for image-based optical flow computation
- The use of a distance transform, as proposed by Almatrafi et al. [1], allows for densification, but:
- a single noisy event can impact a large part of the image;
- dense texture areas become "dark blobs"
- Our solution: a novel inverse exponential distance transform, which densifies the image while keeping the textures and limiting the impact of noise



Quantitative results on the MVSEC Dataset

Method	Indoor flying 1		Indoor flying 2		Indoor flying 3		Outdoor day 1		Outdoor day 2	
	AEE (px)	% outliers	AEE (px)	% outliers	AEE (px)	% outliers	AEE (px)	% outliers	AEE (px)	% outliers
RTEF (ours)	0.52	0.1	0.98	5.5	0.71	2.1	0.53	0.2	0.74	1.2
EV-FlowNet [2]	0.56	—	0.66		0.59	—	0.68	—	0.82	—
FireFlowNet [3]	0.97	2.6	1.67	15.3	1.43	11.0	1.06	6.6	_	_

Table 1. Raw errors on the optical flow

RTEF (ours)	EV-FlowNet [2]	FireFlowNet [3]
250Hz	125Hz	262Hz

Table 2. Execution speed

Compared to the state of the art, our method combines both the **high accuracy** of EV-FlowNet and the **high speed** of FireFlowNet



The base image, as obtained after step **3**)



Our inverse exponential distance transform

Qualitative results on Prophesee's 20-minute-long driving sequence



















Qualitative results on the MVSEC Dataset



References

M. Almatrafi, R. Baldwin, K. Aizawa, and K. Hirakawa.
Distance surface for event-based optical flow.

IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 42(7):1547–1556, Jul. 2020.



[2] T. Stoffregen, C. Scheerlinck, D. Scaramuzza, T. Drummond, N. Barnes, L. Kleeman, and R. Mahony. Reducing the sim-to-real gap for event cameras. In Proceedings of the European Conference on Computer Vision, pages 534–539, 2020.

[3] F. Paredes-Vallés and G. C. H. E. de Croon.

Back to event basics: Self-supervised learning of image reconstruction for event cameras via photometric constancy.

Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR), pages 3446–3455, Jun. 2021.







